

# Studies of Islamic Jurisprudence and the Basis of Law

Online ISSN: 2783-0837

Print ISSN: 2783-2368

Homepage: fvh.journals.miu.ac.ir



# Paternal and Maternal Lineage and Milk-Kinship in Children Born via Surrogacy

Jafar Raayati<sup>1</sup>, Ali Akbar Esmaeili<sup>2</sup>, and Sayyid Reza Mousavi<sup>3</sup>

- 1. PhD Student in Law, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan Branch, Gorgan, Iran. Email: roayati.jafar@gmail.com
- Corresponding Author, Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan Branch, Gorgan, Iran. Email: a.esmaeile@gmail.com
- Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan Branch, Gorgan, Iran. Email: 2064348166@iau.ir

## **Article Info**

## ABSTRACT

#### Article type: Research Article

. . . . . . .

Article history: Received

12 November 2022 Received in revised form 07 January 2023 Accepted 05 February 2023 Available online 22 June 2025

#### Keywords:

ijārah (leasing), surrogacy, lineage (nasab), milk mother (umm alradā'ah), child Lineage is a legal relationship that emerges through the genesis of a human from another human's reproductive fluid, which the law has recognized and established its legal provisions. The realization of lineage in Islamic jurisprudence and positive law is founded on two axes conventional (natural method) and unconventional (laboratory method), with surrogacy being one of the unconventional methods. In this context, the fundamental question concerns the nature of paternal and maternal lineage of children born through this method. The present article, compiled through library research, investigates paternal and maternal lineage and examines the existence of milk kinship (raḍāʿī) between a child born through surrogacy and the surrogate mother. In this regard, the views of jurists and legal scholars have been critically analyzed. The results demonstrate that despite divergent perspectives among jurists and legal scholars in determining the lineage of infants born through surrogacy, the infant is attributed to the juridical parents (owners of the ovum and sperm). By a fortiori reasoning, the surrogate mother is recognized as the child's milk mother (umm al-radā ah); consequently, she bears only marital prohibition (hurmah), but not other lineage effects such as inheritance or custody.

Cite this article: Raayati, J., Esmaeili, A. A., & Mousavi, S. R. (2025). Paternal and Maternal Lineage and Milk-Kinship in Children Born via Surrogacy. *Studies of Islamic Jurisprudence and Basis of Law*, 19(1), 207-227. http://doi.org/10.22034/fvh.2024.14689.1685



© The Author(s). Publisher: Al-Mustafa International University. DOI: http://doi.org/10.22034/fvh.2024.14689.1685

#### Introduction

Nasab (lineage) refers to the kinship relationship established through the birth of a person from the gametes of another human being. In legal and Islamic jurisprudential systems, nasab is recognized as one of the foundational concepts, serving as the basis for numerous rulings, including inheritance, custody, and marital prohibition. In both Islamic jurisprudence and statutory law, nasab may be established in two ways: naturally (through conventional reproduction) and non-naturally (through assisted reproductive methods). One of the non-natural methods of establishing nasab is surrogacy, whereby a woman (the surrogate mother) carries and delivers a fetus conceived from the ovum and sperm of the intended parents. With advances in reproductive technologies in recent decades, surrogacy has expanded significantly and has raised complex questions concerning lineage determination and related rulings.

The primary questions in this field concern the determination of paternal and maternal lineage of children born through surrogacy, as well as the possible establishment of a  $rid\bar{a}$   $\bar{i}$  (milk-kinship) relationship between the child and the surrogate mother. These issues are contentious from both jurisprudential and legal perspectives, as jurists and legal scholars differ in their views on the lineage of such children. Some emphasize the biological connection (ownership of ovum and sperm), while others consider the gestational role of the surrogate mother in determining nasab or  $rid\bar{a}$ . This study seeks to address these questions by analyzing the opinions of Islamic jurists and legal scholars concerning the lineage of children born via surrogacy. It aims to clarify the status of paternal and maternal nasab and the  $rid\bar{a}$   $\bar{i}$  relationship in such cases through a close examination of relevant jurisprudential and legal sources.

#### Methodology

This research employs a library-based method. Primary sources include classical jurisprudential works, scientific articles, statutory laws, and the opinions of jurists (fuqahā') and legal scholars in the fields of lineage and surrogacy. Initially, major jurisprudential sources, including works of fiqh istidlālā (jurisprudential reasoning) and the fatwas of contemporary marāji al-taqlād, were reviewed. In addition, civil law provisions relating to nasab in Iran and in selected other jurisdictions that recognize surrogacy were analyzed.

Subsequently, scholarly articles and research published in reputable journals on surrogacy and lineage were studied. To ensure comprehensiveness, the views of leading jurists, as well as family law experts, were examined. Content analysis was employed to extract and compare different perspectives, enabling the identification of areas of consensus and divergence in jurisprudential and legal opinions. Furthermore, through analogical reasoning (qiyās) and jurisprudential inference (istinbāt), rulings

related to the possible  $rid\bar{a}$   $\bar{i}$  relationship between the child and the surrogate mother were derived.

## **Findings**

The findings indicate significant differences of opinion among jurists and legal scholars regarding the lineage of children born through surrogacy. These divergences stem primarily from different jurisprudential and legal foundations, as well as from varying interpretations of the biological and gestational roles in determining *nasab*. The key findings are presented under three main headings:

### a. Paternal and maternal lineage (nasab abawī wa-ummī)

The majority of jurists and legal scholars hold that paternal and maternal *nasab* of children born through surrogacy belongs to the intended parents—that is, the genetic parents who provided the ovum and sperm. From a jurisprudential perspective, paternal lineage is attributed to the man whose sperm was used to fertilize the ovum, and maternal lineage is attributed to the woman whose ovum was used in conception. This view is grounded in the principle that the genetic origin of the fetus is the decisive factor in establishing *nasab*. In Iranian civil law, Article 1158 of the Civil Code implicitly supports this position, as it defines lineage on the basis of genetic connection. However, some jurists, citing the surrogate mother's role in carrying and nourishing the fetus, argue that she may also be recognized in certain rulings—such as marital prohibition—as a *ridā* 'ī mother.

# b. Riḍā 'ī (milk-kinship) relationship

One of the central issues in this research is whether a  $rid\bar{a}$   $\bar{i}$  relationship is established between the surrogate mother and the child. In Islamic jurisprudence,  $rid\bar{a}$  arises when a woman breastfeeds a child with her own milk. In the case of surrogacy, some jurists, by analogy ( $qiy\bar{a}s$  al-awlawiyya), argue that because the surrogate mother nourishes the fetus in utero through the umbilical cord, she may be considered a  $rid\bar{a}$   $\bar{i}$  mother. This analogy is based on the priority that nourishing a fetus during gestation is more direct than postnatal breastfeeding. However, this  $rid\bar{a}$   $\bar{i}$  relationship is generally limited to the prohibition of marriage and does not extend to other legal consequences of nasab, such as inheritance or custody. Other jurists reject this analogy, maintaining that  $rid\bar{a}$  is restricted exclusively to breastfeeding after birth.

# c. Jurisprudential and legal divergences

The existing divergences are largely due to differences in the interpretation of jurisprudential and statutory sources. In Shīʿī jurisprudence, for example, some authorities, such as Ayatollah Sistani, emphasize the primacy of the genetic connection and regard the surrogate solely as a gestational carrier, whereas some Sunni jurists place greater weight on the role of gestation. In Iranian law, there is no explicit statutory provision addressing this matter, leaving a legal vacuum.

#### Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the lineage of children born through surrogacy is a complex issue in both jurisprudence and law, requiring nuanced analysis. While differences exist among jurists and legal scholars, the predominant view is that both paternal and maternal *nasab* belong to the intended parents (the genetic parents). This conclusion is grounded in the central role of genetic factors in determining lineage, as recognized in Islamic jurisprudence and Iranian civil law. Nevertheless, by *qiyās al-awlawiyya*, the surrogate mother is considered a *riḍā ʿī* mother with respect to marital prohibition—a ruling that, while limited in scope, holds significant jurisprudential importance.

From a legal perspective, the absence of explicit legislation in Iran regarding surrogacy and the lineage of children born through this method underscores the need for clear and comprehensive laws. It is recommended that lawmakers, in collaboration with jurists and legal scholars, develop a legal framework that both adheres to Islamic jurisprudential principles and responds to the growing societal demand for clarity in matters relating to advanced reproductive technologies. Future research may benefit from comparative studies of surrogacy laws in different jurisdictions and their implications for lineage rulings, offering more effective solutions to the current challenges.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this work (33.3% each).

Data Availability Statement: Not Applicable.

Acknowledgements: No acknowledgments are to be made.

*Ethical Considerations:* The authors avoided data fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, and misconduct.

*Funding:* This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process: Information not yet submitted.